

Endorsements and ballot-measure recommendations

The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association PAC
has endorsed these candidates
for the November 3, 2020, Statewide General Election:

STATE ASSEMBLY

Megan Dahle
Assembly District 1

James Gallagher
Assembly District 3

Frank Bigelow
Assembly District 5

Kevin Kiley
Assembly District 6

Cathy Cook
Assembly District 8

Devon Mathis
Assembly District 26

Vince Fong
Assembly District 34

Tom Lackey
Assembly District 36

Charles W. Cole
Assembly District 37

Suzette Martinez Valladares
Lucie Lapointe Volotzky

(Dual Endorsement)
Assembly District 38

Ricardo Benitez
Assembly District 39

Andrew Kotyuk
Assembly District 42

Jeffi Girgenti
Assembly District 45

Burton Brink
Assembly District 49

Toni Holle
Assembly District 52

Phillip Chen
Assembly District 55

Chris Raahauge
Assembly District 60

Ali Mazarei
Assembly District 61

Kelly Seyarto
Assembly District 67

Steven Choi
Assembly District 68

Randy Voepel
Assembly District 71

Janet Nguyen
Assembly District 72

Laurie Davies

Assembly District 73

Melanie Burkholder
Assembly District 76

STATE SENATE

Brian Dahle
Senate District 1

Alexander Glew
Senate District 13

Vicki Nohrden
Senate District 17

Scott Wilk
Senate District 21

Rosilicie Ochoa Bogh
Senate District 23

Houman Salem
Senate District 27

Ling Ling Chang
Senate District 29

John Moorlach
Senate District 37

U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Tom McClintock
U.S. Congressional District 4

Buzz Patterson
U.S. Congressional District 7

Jay Obernolte

U.S. Congressional District 8

Ted Howze

U.S. Congressional District 10

Alison Hayden

U.S. Congressional District 15

David G. Valadao

U.S. Congressional District 21

Devin G. Nunes

U.S. Congressional District 22

Kevin McCarthy

U.S. Congressional District 23

Andy Caldwell

U.S. Congressional District 24

Ronda Baldwin-Kennedy

U.S. Congressional District 26

Johnny J. Nalbandian

U.S. Congressional District 27

Eric Early

U.S. Congressional District 28

Agnes Gibboney

U.S. Congressional District 31

Mike Cargile

U.S. Congressional District 35

Young Kim

U.S. Congressional District 39

Greg Rath

U.S. Congressional District 45

Michelle Steel

U.S. Congressional District 48

Brian Maryott

U.S. Congressional District 49

Darrell Issa

U.S. Congressional District 50

Ballot Measures

No on 15

Why we're against it

This is the treacherous “split roll” property tax, a direct attack on Proposition 13. Proposition 15 would repeal part of Prop. 13 and require reassessment to market value of business properties. It would raise taxes on supermarkets, shopping malls, office buildings, factories, movie theaters, hotels, restaurants, sports stadiums, warehouses, self-storage facilities, major retailers and other businesses where Californians work or shop. Even the smallest businesses that lease space will face higher rents, or will have to pay the higher property taxes as part of their “triple net” lease agreement. Those higher costs are passed on to consumers. Proposition 15 would raise prices, increase the cost of living and put countless jobs at risk as companies cut back or leave the state. The proponents of this measure are seeking to weaken Proposition 13, and we can guess why. They could come after homeowners next. Protect Prop. 13. VOTE NO on PROPOSITION 15.

No on 18

Why we're against it

Proposition 18 would change the voting age in California to allow 17-year-olds to vote in primaries and special elections if they will turn 18 by the date of the next general election. While some states allow this, California is different than other states because under Prop. 13 and Prop. 218, tax increases must go on the ballot for voter approval. These proposed tax increases are frequently on primary and special election ballots. Proposition 18 would allow high school students to vote on tax increases. This is unwise. The voting age in California should not be changed. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 18.

No on 19

Why we're against it

Proposition 19 takes away important taxpayer protections that have been enshrined in the State Constitution since 1986. That's when 76% of voters approved Proposition 58 to allow parents to transfer a home and limited other property to their children without an increase in property taxes. Proposition 19 eliminates Proposition 58 and a similar measure, Proposition 193, which gives the same protection to transfers between grandparents and grandchildren if the children's parents are deceased. Proposition 19 would require property transferred within families to be reassessed to market value as of the date of transfer, resulting in a huge property tax increase for long-held family homes. The only exception is if the children move into the home within a year and make it their principal residence. This is a billion-dollar tax increase on California families. Proposition 19 contains other provisions, which HJTA has supported in the past, to expand the opportunities for older homeowners to transfer the base-year value of their home (under Prop. 13) to a replacement home. This was on the ballot in November 2018 as Proposition 5, but voters rejected it. Now, with a massive tax increase added, the price is too high. HJTA opposes this measure. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 19.

No on 21

Why we're against it

Proposition 21 would change state law to allow radical rent control laws to be passed in cities that are already suffering from an inadequate supply of housing. In 2016, California's nonpartisan Legislative Analyst's Office issued a report that found that expanding rent control "likely would discourage new construction" by limiting the profitability of new rental housing. Under current law—the 1995 Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act—housing providers have the right to raise the rent on a vacant unit to market value after a tenant moves out. The same law also bans rent control on units constructed after February 1995 and on single-family homes and condos. Proposition 21 would repeal this law and allow unelected rent boards (or elected rent boards) to impose radical rent control and regulations, even on single-family homes. VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 21.

Paid for by Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association State PAC and Protect Prop. 13, a Project of the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association.

Not authorized or paid for by a candidate for any office or a committee controlled by a candidate for any office.